UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,618 | 03/27/2006 | Hartmut Nitzsche | 101914450 | 9019 |
| 26646 KENYON & K | 7590 07/18/200 ENYON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE BROADY | | NGUYEN, HANH N | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2834 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/18/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/573,618 | NITZSCHE, HARTMUT | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | HANH N. NGUYEN | 2834 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 17-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the | awn from consideration. or election requirement. ner. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to | • | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | , , | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | .xammer. Note the attached Office | Action of form F 10-192. | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 17-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 17, the limitation "the at least one first support surface and the at least one second support surface have a lateral clearance from each other" and "the at least one first elastic element bridges the lateral clearance in the form of a first free bridge" is not understood by an ordinary skill in the art.

Figures 1, 2 show holding tab 17 formed on the stator frame of motor 12.

Holding tab 17 is covered on two sides by damping element 21 as shown in Fig. 1. The side wall 28 of damping element 21 is between holding tab 17 and pocket side wall 8'.

As best understood by the Examiner and shown in Fig. 3, the first support surface 30 is the surface of the overlapping region of the holding tab 17 and elastic member and the second support surface 31 is the overlapping region of the pocket side wall 8' and the elastic member. However, as shown in Fig. 2, there is no lateral clearance between first support surface 30 and the at least one second support surface 31 and the disclosure

Application/Control Number: 10/573,618 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

does not show how the lateral clearance 32 is formed in Fig. 3. Fig. 4 shows an embodiment quite different from Fig. 2 with two holding tabs 17 on one side of the elastic member and pocket side wall 8' on the other side (please see markups in previous Office Action).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/28/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument is on the ground that the Examiner only consider one factor of several factors that must be considered in an analysis for compliance with the enablement requirement are: (1) the breadth of the claims; (2) the nature of the invention; (3) the state of the prior art; (4) the level of one of ordinary skill in the art; (5) the level of predictability in the art; (6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (7) the existence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant because the Examiner has clearly pointed out why the disclosure of the invention is not understood to an ordinary skill in the art rather certain phrases in claim 17. Moreover, the breadth of the claims and the nature of the invention is unclear, there is no drawings to described prior art, there is no direction provided by the inventor, no working example. Moreover, in the Applicant's argument filed 4/28/2008, the Applicant did not give any additional information make the invention understandable.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/573,618 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1000.

HNN

July 16, 2008

/Nguyen N Hanh/

Examiner, Art Unit 2834